

文章编号: 1672 - 058X(2009)03 - 0219 - 04

The optimality conditions of infinite vector optimization problems

XIE Xiao-feng¹, HE Jing², Lai Han³

(1. Chengdu Neusoft Institute of information, Chengdu 611844, China; 2. Yucai Collge, Southwest University, Chongqing 401524, China; 3. College of Computer and Information Science, Chongqing Technology and Business University, Chongqing 400067, China)

Abstract: In paper [1], the author established the optimality conditions for the finite dimensional multiobjective differentiable programming, and got a series of meaningful conclusions. In this paper, we expand these conclusions to the infinite vector optimization problems, and the optimality conditions of infinite vector optimization problems are obtained.

Key words: infinite dimensional spaces; constraint qualification; necessary optimality condition; sufficient optimality condition; Pareto-optimal solutions; α -pseudoconvexity

CI number: O224

Document code: A

Many results of the optimality conditions for infinite vector optimization problems have already caused the interest of a lot of scholars. This paper deals with the infinite vector optimization problems. By using the constraint qualification $S \subseteq C(K, x^0)$, the necessary optimality conditions for weak Pareto-optimal solutions are obtained. The sufficient optimality conditions for weak Pareto-optimal solutions are discussed in the case of convexity and α -convexity separately.

1 Preliminaries

Let X be a Banach space and Y be a locally convex Hausdorff space. Suppose that Y_+ is a positive cone with nonempty interior in Y , $Y_+ \cap Y_+ = Y_+$, $0 \in Y_+$. We may obtain a linear order on Y defined by $y < y$ if $y - y \in Y_+$.

But, $y < y$ means $y - y \in \text{int}Y_+$. $y < y \Leftrightarrow y - y \in Y_+$, $y < y \Leftrightarrow y > y$. Let Y^* denote the topological dual of Y . The dual cone Y_+^* of Y_+ is denoted by $Y_+^* = \{ y^* \in Y^* : y, y^* \geq 0, \forall y \in Y_+ \}$, where y, y^* denotes the value of the continuous linear functional y^* at the point y . In this paper, consider the infinite vector optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & -g(x) \in Z_+, h(x) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VP})$$

where $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $g: X \rightarrow Z$, and $h: X \rightarrow W$ are all differentiable functions in the sense of Frechet (or simply F -differentiable). Let Y have a positive cone with nonempty topological interior. Let Z, W be Banach spaces with positive cones Z_+ and W_+ with nonempty topological interiors respectively, and W_+ be a point cone.

Let $K = \{ x \in X : g(x) \geq 0, h(x) = 0 \}$, where K is a feasible set of the problem (VP).

收稿日期: 2009 - 01 - 15; 修回日期: 2009 - 03 - 02

作者简介: 谢小凤 (1981 -), 女, 重庆人, 硕士研究生, 从事最优化理论及应用研究.

Definition 1 We say that $x^0 \in K$ is a Pareto-optimal solution of the problem (VP), if there exists no $x \in K$, $x \neq x^0$ such that $f(x) \leq f(x^0)$.

Definition 2 We say that $x^0 \in K$ is a weak Pareto-optimal solution of the problem (VP), if there does not exist $x \in K$ such that $f(x) < f(x^0)$.

Lemma 1 (alternative theorem, see [2]) Let D be a nonempty set and Y be an ordered linear topological space with a positive cone Y_+ with nonempty interior. If $F: D \rightarrow Y$ is a subconvexlike mapping, then either (i) or (ii) holds:

- (i) there is $x^0 \in D$ such that $F(x^0) < 0$;
- (ii) there is $y^* \in Y_+^*$ such that $y^* \geq 0$ and $F(x), y^* \leq 0, \forall x \in D$.

The two alternatives (i) and (ii) exclude each other.

2 Necessary optimality conditions

Definition 3 Let V be a locally convex Hausdorff space, $U \subseteq V$. The vector $d \in V$ is called a convergence vector for U at $u_0 \in U$, if and only if there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in U and a sequence $\{a_n\}$ of a positive real numbers such that

$$\lim_n u_n = u_0, \lim_n a_n = 0, \lim_n \frac{u_n - u_0}{a_n} = d$$

Lemma 2 (see [6]) Suppose that $x^0 \in K$ is a weak Pareto-optimal solution of the problem (VP), then no convergence vector for $f(K)$ at $f(x^0)$ is strictly negative.

Let $C(K, x^0)$ be the set of all convergence vectors for K at x^0 , and let $S = \{x \in X : g(x) \leq 0, h(x) = 0\}$.

We say that g and h satisfy a constraint qualification at x^0 if $S \subseteq C(K, x^0)$.

Theorem 1 Suppose that:

- (i) $x^0 \in K$ is a weak Pareto-optimal solution of (VP);
- (ii) f, g and h are F -differentiable at x^0 ;
- (iii) g and h satisfy the constraint qualification at x^0 .

then, there exist $y_0^* \in Y_+^*, z_0^* \in Z_+^*, w_0^* \in W^*$, such that

$$y_0^* f(x^0) + z_0^* g(x^0) + w_0^* h(x^0) = 0$$

$$g(x^0) \leq 0, h(x^0) = 0$$

Proof Let x^0 be a weak Pareto-optimal solution of (VP). Then $x^0 \in K$ and hence $g(x^0) \leq 0, h(x^0) = 0$.

(i) Let $d \in C(K, x^0)$. Then, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset K$ and a sequence $\{a_n\}$ of a positive real numbers such that

$$x_n \in K, a_n > 0, \frac{x_n - x^0}{a_n} \rightarrow d$$

Due to f is F -differentiable at x^0 , we have:

$$f(x_n) = f(x^0) + f'(x^0)(x_n - x^0) + o(\|x_n - x^0\|), \text{ i.e., } \frac{f(x_n) - f(x^0)}{a_n} = f'(x^0) \frac{x_n - x^0}{a_n} + \frac{o(\|x_n - x^0\|)}{a_n} \cdot \frac{x_n - x^0}{a_n}$$

where $o(\|x_n - x^0\|) / \|x_n - x^0\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Therefore, $\frac{f(x_n) - f(x^0)}{a_n} \rightarrow f'(x^0)d$.

By the continuity of f , $f(x_n) - f(x^0) \rightarrow f'(x^0)d$. It means that $f'(x^0)d$ is a convergence vector of $f(K)$ at $f(x^0)$. By lemma 2, $f'(x^0)d \geq 0$. Note that W have a positive cone W_+ with nonempty topological interior, and W_+ is a point cone. Hence, for any $d \in C(K, x^0)$, the system of $f'(x^0)d < 0, g(x^0)d \leq 0, -h(x^0)d \leq 0, h(x^0)d = 0$ is inconsistent.

(ii) Let $d \in X, d \notin C(K, x^0)$, then $d \notin S$. That is, for any $d \in C(K, x^0)$, the system $g(x^0)d \leq 0, -h(x^0)d \leq 0$

$d \neq 0, h(x^0) \cdot d = 0$ is inconsistent. Hence, for any $d \in C(K, x^0)$, the system $f(x^0) \cdot d < 0, g(x^0) \cdot d = 0, -h(x^0) \cdot d = 0, h(x^0) \cdot d = 0$ is inconsistent.

Let $F(x) = (f(x^0) \cdot x, g(x^0) \cdot x, -h(x^0) \cdot x, h(x^0) \cdot x), x \in X$.

We note that Y_+, Z_+, W_+ have nonempty topological interiors. Hence, there exists no $d \in X$, such that $F(d) = (f(x^0) \cdot d, g(x^0) \cdot d, -h(x^0) \cdot d, h(x^0) \cdot d) < 0$.

It is obvious that X is a convex set. Since F is a convex function on X , it is a subconvexlike mapping. According to Lemma 1, $\exists p^* = (y_0^*, z_0^*, u_0^*, v_0^*) \in Y_+^* \times Z_+^* \times W_+^* \times W_+^*, p^* \neq 0$, such that $[F(d), p^*] \leq 0, \forall d \in X$. i.e., $y_0^* f(x^0) \cdot d + z_0^* g(x^0) \cdot d - u_0^* h(x^0) \cdot d + v_0^* h(x^0) \cdot d = 0$.

While changing into the $-d$, $y_0^* f(x^0) \cdot d + z_0^* g(x^0) \cdot d - u_0^* h(x^0) \cdot d + v_0^* h(x^0) \cdot d = 0$.

Hence, we get $y_0^* f(x^0) \cdot d + z_0^* g(x^0) \cdot d - u_0^* h(x^0) \cdot d + v_0^* h(x^0) \cdot d = 0$.

Let $w_0^* = v_0^* - u_0^* \in W^*$. We get

$$y_0^* f(x^0) \cdot d + z_0^* g(x^0) \cdot d + w_0^* h(x^0) \cdot d = 0, \quad \forall d \in X \tag{1}$$

From (1), we obtain:

$$y_0^* f(x^0) + z_0^* g(x^0) + w_0^* h(x^0) = 0$$

3 Sufficient optimality conditions

Corollary 1 The conclusions of Theorem 1 hold with hypothesis () and () as above, but hypothesis () replaced by () $S_h = \{x \in X : h(x^0) \cdot x = 0\} \subseteq C(K, x^0)$.

Since $S \subseteq S_h$, the corollary establishes obviously.

Theorem 2 Suppose that:

- () f, g and h are F -differentiable at x^0 ;
- () f and g are convex, h is convex and concave;
- () there exist $y_0^* \in Y_+^*, y_0^* \neq 0, z_0^* \in Z_+^*, w_0^* \in W^*$, such that:
 - (a) $y_0^* f(x^0) \cdot x + z_0^* g(x^0) \cdot x + w_0^* h(x^0) \cdot x = 0, \forall x \in S$;
 - (b) $g(x^0) = 0$;
 - (c) $h(x^0) = 0$.

Then, x^0 is a weak Pareto-optimal solution of (VP).

Proof If x^0 is not a weak Pareto-optimal solution of (VP), then, there exists $x^* \in K$ such that $f(x^*) < f(x^0)$. Hence, by assumptions (), (), () (b), () (c), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^0) \cdot (x^* - x^0) &= f(x^*) - f(x^0) < 0 \\ g(x^0) \cdot (x^* - x^0) &= g(x^*) - g(x^0) = 0 \\ h(x^0) \cdot (x^* - x^0) &= h(x^*) - h(x^0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\exists x^* - x^0 \in S$, such that $f(x^0) \cdot (x^* - x^0) < 0$. For $f(x^0)$ using on S in Lemma 1, by this time the assumption (ii) of Lemma 1 does not hold for $y_0^* \in Y_+^*, y_0^* \neq 0$. Therefore, we have $x \in S$ such that

$$y_0^* f(x^0) \cdot x < 0 \tag{2}$$

Using $x \in S$, we obtain $g(x^0) \cdot x = 0, h(x^0) \cdot x = 0$. Since $z_0^* \in Z_+^*$ and $w_0^* \in W^*$, therefore:

$$z_0^* g(x^0) \cdot x = 0, w_0^* h(x^0) \cdot x = 0 \tag{3}$$

By (2) and (3), we get $y_0^* f(x^0) \cdot x + z_0^* g(x^0) \cdot x + w_0^* h(x^0) \cdot x < 0$, which contradicts () (a). Consequently, x^0 is a weak Pareto-optimal solution of (VP).

In the next theorem, we replace the convexity of f, g and h by the η -pseudoconvexity of a suitable linear combination of the components of f, g and h .

Definition 4 Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is F -differentiable at x^0 on X . The mapping ϕ is said to be η -convexity at x^0 , if



$\exists : X \times X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$f(x) - f(x^0) \in (x - x^0) + K, \forall x \in X$$

The mapping f is said to be K -pseudoconvexity at x^0 , if $(x - x^0) \in -K \Rightarrow f(x) - f(x^0) \in K, \forall x \in X$.

Theorem 3 Suppose that

- (i) f, g and h are F -differentiable at x^0 ;
- (ii) there exist $y_0^* \in Y^*, z_0^* \in Z^*, w_0^* \in W^*$, such that
 - (a) $[y_0^* f(x^0) + z_0^* g(x^0) + w_0^* h(x^0)](x, x^0) \leq 0, \forall x \in K$;
 - (b) $g(x^0) = 0$;
 - (c) $h(x^0) = 0$.
- (iii) $y_0^* f(x) + z_0^* g(x) + w_0^* h(x)$ is K -pseudoconvexity at x^0 .

Then, x^0 is a weak Pareto-optimal solution of (VP).

Proof If x^0 is not a weak Pareto-optimal solution of (VP), there exists $x^* \in K$ such that $f(x^*) - f(x^0) < 0$. Since $x^* \in K$, by assumptions (ii) (b) and (ii) (c), therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &g(x^*) - g(x^0) \leq 0, h(x^*) - h(x^0) = 0 \\
 &y_0^* f(x^*) + z_0^* g(x^*) + w_0^* h(x^*) < y_0^* f(x^0) + z_0^* g(x^0) + w_0^* h(x^0) \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

If $[y_0^* f(x^0) + z_0^* g(x^0) + w_0^* h(x^0)](x^*, x^0) = [y_0^* of(x^0) + z_0^* og(x^0) + w_0^* oh(x^0)](x^*, x^0) \leq 0$

By definition of K -pseudoconvexity, we have:

$$y_0^* f(x^*) + z_0^* g(x^*) + w_0^* h(x^*) \geq y_0^* f(x^0) + z_0^* g(x^0) + w_0^* h(x^0)$$

which contradicts (4). Therefore, we obtain:

$$[y_0^* f(x^0) + z_0^* g(x^0) + w_0^* h(x^0)](x, x^0) < 0, \forall x \in K$$

but this violates hypothesis (ii) (a). Hence x^0 is a weak Pareto-optimal solution of (VP).

References:

[1] SINGH C. Optimality Conditions in Multiobjective Differentiable Programming[J]. Optimization Theory Appl, 1987, 53(1): 115-123

[2] LI ZM. On Optimality Conditions in Semi-infinite Vector Optimization Problems[J]. Sys Sci & Math Scis, 1994, 14(4): 375-380

[3] LI ZM. The Optimality Conditions for Vector Optimization of Set-Valued Maps[J]. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 1999, 237: 413-424

[4] LI ZM. The Optimality Conditions of Differentiable Vector Optimization Problems[J]. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 1996, 201: 35-43

无穷维向量最优化问题的最优性条件

谢小凤¹, 何 静², 赖 涵³

(1. 成都东软信息技术职业学院, 成都 611844; 2. 西南大学育才学院, 重庆 401524;

3. 重庆工商大学 计算机与信息科学学院, 重庆 400067)

摘 要:文 [1] 在有穷维空间中建立了可微多目标规划的最优性条件, 并得出了一些有意义的结论. 此处将这些结论推广到了无穷维空间中, 得到了无穷维空间中向量最优化问题的最优性条件.

关键词:无穷维空间; 约束规格; 最优性必要条件; 最优性充分条件; Pareto 最优解; 一伪凸

责任编辑: 李翠薇

